AO 257 (Rev. 6/78)

- Control of Control o	
DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE T	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDII	
57 5 37 7537 0000000 - 55 50000 000000	OAKLAND DIVISION
18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1957 – Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived From Specified	
Unlawful Activity; 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C), 982(a)(2) & 28 U. S.C. § 2461(c) – Criminal Forfeiture	DEI ENDANT & O.O
Misc mea	<u>∆</u>
Felo	ny DICTRICT COURT NUMBER
PENALTY: See Penalty Sheet Attachment.	CR 18-00415 JD SEP 1 3 2018
	CN 10 004133B
*	SUSAN Y, SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	DEFENDANT OAKLAND
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	1) X If not detained give date any prior
Federal Bureau of Investigation	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) S a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	apartic and apartic and apartic aparti
this is a reprosecution of	4) On this charge
charges previously dismissed	5) On another conviction
☐ Which were dismissed on motion SHOW of: DOCKET NO	
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same	Has detainer Yes If "Yes"
defendant MAGISTRATE	I I I dive date
CASE NO. prior proceedings or appearance(s)	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	ARREST 7
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form ALEX G. TSE	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S.	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
Attorney (if assigned) KATIE BURROUGHS MEDEAR	
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS
☐ SUMMONS 🔀 NO PROCESS* ☐ WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following:	
Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments	

U.S. v. Goggin - CR 18-00415 JD

PENALTY SHEET ATTACHMENT

Counts One Through Four:

18 U.S.C. § 1343 — Wire Fraud

Maximum Penalties:

- 20 years imprisonment (18 U.S.C. § 1343)
- \$250,000 fine or twice gross gain or loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571(b)(3))
- 3 years of supervised release (18 U.S.C. § 3583(b)(2))
- \$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

Counts Five Through Thirteen:

18 U.S.C. § 1957 — Engaging in Monetary Transaction in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity

Maximum Penalties:

- 10 years imprisonment (18 U.S.C. § 1957(b)(1))
- \$250,000 fine or twice value of criminally derived property involved in transaction (18 U.S.C. §§ 1957(b)(2), 3571(b)(3))
- 3 years of supervised release (18 U.S.C. § 3583(b)(2))
- \$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

Case 4:18-cr-00415-JD Document 3 Filed 09/13/18 Page 3 of 10

United States District Court

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VENUE: Oakland CR 18-00415 JD

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

V.

TERRENCE PATRICK GOGGIN,

FILED

SEP 13 2018 ~

SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND

DEFENDANT.

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1957 – Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived From Specified Unlawful Activity; 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C), 982(a)(2) & 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Criminal Forfeiture

A true bill.
HELGA GOWSCI
Foreman
Meg Sun
Filed in open court this 3 day of 5EPT 20/8
Jun L. Larcia
Clerk
9/13/18
Bail, § NO PROCESS



ALEX G. TSE (CABN 152348) United States Attorney

FILED

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OAKLAND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

13 V.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TERRENCE PATRICK GOGGIN,

Defendant.

NO. CR 18-00415 JD

VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1957 – Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived From Specified Unlawful Activity; 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C), 982(a)(2) & 28

U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Criminal Forfeiture

OAKLAND VENUE

UNDER SEAL

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges:

Introductory Allegations

At all times relevant to this Superseding Indictment:

1. TERRENCE PATRICK GOGGIN (GOGGIN) was the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Aegis Holding Company, LLC (Aegis), a California limited liability company (LLC) originally located in San Francisco, California, and later located in Berkeley, California. GOGGIN was also the founder and CEO of Metropolitan Coffee and Concession Company, LLC (MC2), a California

LLC originally located in San Francisco, California, and later located in Berkeley, California.

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

UNDER SEAL

District Court Criminal Case Processing

- 2. MC2 was formed to operate several retail centers in Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) stations in the San Francisco Bay Area. Aegis held a BART Station Retail Permit and planned to construct, build-out, manage, and operate eight Peet's Coffee & Tea (Peet's) retail centers in BART stations. By mutual agreement between MC2 and BART, MC2 constructed and built-out four Peet's retail centers in BART stations at Downtown Berkeley, Embarcadero, Montgomery, and Pittsburg/Bay Point (the Existing Retail Centers). From July 2007 through February 26, 2014, GOGGIN, and others at his direction, solicited investor money to build-out the Existing Retail Centers and future Peet's retail centers at the Civic Center and Balboa Park BART stations (the Future Retail Centers).
- 3. In September 2013, MC2 secured funding from private equity investors to build-out the Future Retail Centers. A group of four private equity investors formed Lakeshore Partners, LLC (Lakeshore) to provide a mechanism to invest in the build-out and operation of a Peet's retail center at the Civic Center BART station. Lakeshore was a California LLC located in Oakland, California. In addition, B.W., the trustee and individual beneficiary of a private family trust, provided money to MC2 for the build-out and operation of a future Peet's retail center at the Balboa Park BART station. As the CEO of MC2, GOGGIN signed separate investment agreements with Lakeshore and B.W. to formalize the amount and terms of their respective investments. These agreements detailed the specific BART stations for which the investment monies were being provided, namely Civic Center for Lakeshore and Balboa Park for B.W.
- 4. JPMorgan Chase, California Bank of Commerce, Wells Fargo, and East West Bank were financial institutions whose deposits were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Wire transfers to and from these banks in California, and deposits of checks or electronic transfers to these banks in California, caused interstate wire transfers during the processing of the monetary transactions. A FedWire transfer causes an interstate wire.
- 5. MC2 investor monies, including funds provided by Lakeshore and B.W., were deposited into MC2's JP Morgan Chase Account ending in -4070 (the MC2 Account). As part of the scheme to defraud, GOGGIN made false representations and omissions to Lakeshore, B.W., and other MC2 investors, from at least 2011 through February 24, 2014. Specifically, GOGGIN represented, and had

UNDER SEAL

- others represent at his direction, to Lakeshore and B.W. that their money would be used to fund the build-out of the Future Retail Centers and claimed construction would begin upon receipt of these funds, knowing these statements to be false and intending to use these funds for other purposes. In addition, GOGGIN represented, and had others represent at his direction, to Lakeshore and B.W. that they would receive a reliable, high-rate of return on their investments based on the reportedly positive performance of the Existing Retail Centers, knowing these representations to be false. In soliciting investments from Lakeshore and B.W., GOGGIN omitted information about the poor state of MC2's finances, GOGGIN's history of using MC2 investor funds for non-approved purposes and personal spending, and the state of negotiations between MC2 and BART regarding the construction of the Future Retail Centers. As a result of these misrepresentations and omissions, Lakeshore and B.W. invested \$585,000 and \$100,000, respectively, in the Future Retail Centers.
- 6. GOGGIN diverted and directed his employees to divert the Lakeshore and B.W. investment monies from the MC2 Account to other business bank accounts associated with ventures unconnected to MC2. GOGGIN also misused the investment monies from Lakeshore and B.W. for his personal benefit.
- 7. In addition to MC2 and Aegis, GOGGIN was CEO of Aegis Atlantic LLC (Aegis Atlantic), a Delaware company, which was formed to oversee GOGGIN's ventures on the east coast of the United States. One of these ventures was a restaurant named Preserve24, which was located at 177 E. Houston St. in New York City, New York. GOGGIN built-out and operated Preserve24 through Aegis Atlantic LLC and Preserve24 LCC. In connection, GOGGIN also established Aegis Holding Houston LLC (Aegis Houston), which was named after the street address of Preserve24.
- 8. Aegis Atlantic LLC held a separate JP Morgan Chase Account ending in -4379 (the Aegis Atlantic Account). Preserve24 also held a JP Morgan Chase Account ending in -5020, which it used for payroll for the restaurant employees (the Preserve24 Account). Aegis Houston held a separate JP Morgan Chase Account ending in -5797 (the Aegis Houston Account).
- 9. GOGGIN directed his employees to transfer money from the MC2 Account to Aegis Atlantic Account, Preserve24 Account, and Aegis Houston Account. These bank accounts were not

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

used to fund the build-out or operation of the Future Retail Centers. As such, GOGGIN did not use the investment money from Lakeshore or B.W. for the agreed-upon purposes.

- 10. GOGGIN held Automated Teller Machine (ATM) cards connected to and/or was a signatory on the Aegis Atlantic Account, the Preserve24 Account, the Aegis Houston Account, and the MC2 Account. GOGGIN routinely used money from the Aegis Atlantic Account and the Aegis Houston Account for his personal expenses. Money in the Aegis Atlantic Account and Aegis Houston Accounts frequently originated from investor funds from the MC2 Account.
- 11. In 2013, GOGGIN transferred or directed his employees to transfer money from the Aegis Houston Account to a girlfriend in Thailand on at least twenty-three occasions and in amounts up to \$9,000. These international transfers were unconnected to his business ventures in New York and California. The funds transferred from the Aegis Houston Account to Thailand included money originating from Aegis Atlantic Account. In turn, the Aegis Atlantic Account was often funded by money diverted from the MC2 Account at GOGGIN's direction.

COUNTS ONE THROUGH FOUR: (18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud)

- 12. The factual allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 11 are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 13. Beginning on a date unknown, but no later than 2011, and continuing through a date unknown, but through February 26, 2014, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendant,

TERRENCE PATRICK GOGGIN,

did knowingly and with the intent to defraud, devise, and execute, and attempt to execute, a material scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by omissions and concealment of material facts.

Execution of the Scheme

14. On or about the dates set forth in the separate counts below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, for the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice referred to above, and attempting to do so, the defendant did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted the following

.

writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds in interstate and foreign commerce by means of wire communications:

COUNT	DATE	ITEM WIRED
1	9/12/2013	Wire transfer in the amount of \$585,000 from Lakeshore via California Bank of Commerce Account ending in -4875 to the MC2 Account, via Fedwire.
2	9/17/2013	Email forwarded by T.B. to investor B.W. on behalf of MC2 providing the "bank info" for the MC2 Account for B.W.'s "wire."
3	9/18/2013	Wire transfer in the amount of \$100,000 from trustee B.W.'s Wells Fargo Bank Account ending in -2862 to the MC2 Account, via Fedwire.
4	2/6/2014	Email from MC2 human resources manager, M.T., to an Aegis/MC2 officer, J.S., informing J.S. that healthcare benefits for Preserve24 employees were paid from the MC2 Account based on a "direct order" that M.T. received from GOGGIN wherein GOGGIN asserted his authority as CEO by stating he "controls everything and can do anything" and he is "God' no correction, he is 'more powerful than God.""

Each in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

<u>COUNTS FIVE THROUGH THIRTEEN</u>: (18 U.S.C. § 1957 – Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived from Specified Unlawful Activity)

- 15. The factual allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 14 are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 16. Among other transactions, on or about the dates set forth in the separate counts below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendant,

TERRENCE PATRICK GOGGIN,

did knowingly engage in a monetary transaction by and through a financial institution, in and affecting interstate and foreign commerce, in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000, as set forth below, such funds having been derived from the specified unlawful activity of wire fraud:

COUNT	DATE	AMOUNT	NATURE OF FINANCIAL TRANSACTION
5	9/12/2013	\$16,000	Transfer from the MC2 Account to the Aegis Atlantic Account
6	9/12/2013	\$15,000	Transfer from the MC2 Account to the Aegis Atlantic Account

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

7	9/13/2013	\$25,000	Transfer from the MC2 Account to the Aegis Atlantic
			Account
8	9/13/2013	\$20,000	Transfer from the MC2 Account to the Aegis Atlantic
			Account
9	9/16/2013	\$290,000	Transfer from the MC2 Account to the Aegis Atlantic
			Account
10	9/16/2013	\$58,500	Automated Clearing House (ACH) payment from the
			MC2 Account to the East West Bank Account ending in
			-8266 and belonging to S.H.
11	9/16/2013	\$25,000	Transfer from the MC2 Account to the Preserve24
			Account
12	9/16/2013	\$38,025	Wire from the East West Bank Account ending in -8266
			and belonging to S.H., to J.L., a sailboat captain for
			GOGGIN.
13	9/24/2013	\$61,306.81	Transfer from the MC2 Account to the Preserve24
		*	Account

Each in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION:

(18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c))

- 17. All of the allegations contained in this Superseding Indictment are re-alleged and by this reference fully incorporated herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
 - 18. Upon a conviction for the offenses alleged in this Superseding Indictment, the defendant, TERRENCE PATRICK GOGGIN,

shall forfeit to the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) all property constituting, and derived from, proceeds the defendant obtained directly and indirectly, as the result of those violations including, but not limited to:

- a. a forfeiture money judgment.
- 19. If any of the aforementioned property, as a result of any act or omission of defendant
 - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third person;
 - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
 - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or

27

28

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

Case 4:18-cr-00415-JD Document 3 Filed 09/13/18 Page 10 of 10

	vI
1	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
2	difficulty;
3	any and all interest the defendant has in other property shall be vested in the United States and forfeited
4	to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), as incorporated by 18 U.S.C. § 982(b)(1).
5	All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
6	Code, Section 2461(c), and Rule 32.2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
7	DATED: A TRUE BILL
8	9/13/18 FOREPERSON
10	
11	ALEX G. TSE United States Attorney
12	Con Br
13	DANIEL KALEBA Deputy Chief, Criminal Division
14	Deputy Cirici, Criminal Division
15	(Approved as to form: AUSA KATIE BURROUGHS MEDEARIS
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

27

28